

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 53/-17

191 .

Serial Nos.

()

Later references.

May 93-20- (4-4)

May 5-37-424

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for June 1920.

SUBJECT.

Order of Shimpa praying for the
restoration of certain Vithies in Mithu
the for Shimpa Saluk.

C. G. Shimpa 438

22. 6. 20

May 10, 1920

1917.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Muzna*)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Restoration of certain *Devadaya* *inam* lands alienated from the Temples of *Mallikarjuna Devaru*, *Basavanur Devaru* and *Venabhadra Devaru* *Mattur*, *Shivara Taluk*.

From

The Dy. Commr, *Shivara Dist.*,

No.

298

Dated

30.3.18

Received

Diary No.

1664

Enclos. 122

Spare Copies. —

Serial No.

1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports in the petition from *Shivara* of *Mattur* Agrahar, *Shivara Taluk*, that the *Devadaya* lands in the Agrahar reserved to the temples were at the time of *Inam* settlement, converted into *Madhyaka* lands, thus depriving the *inams* of their endowment.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

and recommends that as the *original grant* is supported by documentary evidence, the *Inam Dept.* may be asked to investigate as to how the lands have disappeared from the accounts.

The Dy. Commr's report explains the facts.

Serial
No.

of the case fully.

May the papers be forwarded
unofficially to the Mam Supdt in
Mysore for opinion?

Submitted for orders.

AV 7.5.18 am 7.5.18

Yes.

K.S.

9/5/18.

Forwarded with compliment
to the Superintendent of Mam
Settlements in Mysore for
favour of opinion.

By Order

[Signature]

9.5.18

For Joint Secy to Govt
Mysore Dept

Muz. 26.0.14 & 10.5.18

Muttur Agrahar in the Shimoga Taluk was
granted under a copper plate Sasanum in A.D.
1523-24 by Krishnaraya Maharaya, the whole
village being divided into 120 vritties, of which
90 vrittis were granted to Brahmins, the
remaining were reserved for himself. Extract
from copper plate Sasanum printed as No 20
of the Shimoga Taluk in the Epigraphia Kar-
nataka of the Shimoga District is appended
to this note for the sake of ready reference.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Copy in extenso, of the summary in English

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz File No. 53/ of 1917.

Serial
No.

of the Sasanum is also appended.)

At the Inam Settlement 82 vrittidars in all were found, and confirmed; of these 78 were Brahmins, 3 were Devarus (Triyambaka Devar, *Lakshminarayan Devar and Vigneswara Devar*), and a fourth Devaru (Mukhypranadevaru) which is distinguished in the Register under the term "subsequent alienation". All these are enumerated in the Inam and Quit-rent Registers. Nowhere in the Inam office Records, can it be found that any of the three Devarus of Ballikarjuna Devaru, Basavanna Devaru and Veerabhadra Devaru alluded to in Sivaiah's petition and the Deputy Commissioner's letter, had any vritti in Muttur Agrahar.

On going over the Jari accounts of 1861, received with the U.O. file, and those of 1833-34 and 1862-63 which I had obtained the perusal of from the Secretariat Records, I find that none of the Devarus alluded to in the Deputy Commissioner's letter ^{and in} ~~or~~ the petition had any Inam in Muttur Agrahar. These old documents mention only the names of Devarus found in the Inam Registers.

The Deputy Commissioner says in para 1

(1) of his letter, " the Devadaya lands were

"at the time of settlement, converted into

"Madyaka, a common property of all the vritti-

"dars, thus depriving the institutions of their

"endowments. Moreover only the number of in-

"stitutions endowed with Devadaya lands has

"been mentioned in the Jari Register without

the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial
No.

"the name and other particulars of the institutions being specified." There is nothing in the Inam office Records to support this statement. Moreover ^{his} statement that only the number and not the names of Devarus are entered in the Jari accounts is not borne out, inasmuch as the Jari accounts give the names of Devarus which are all to be found in the Inam Settlement Register.

The copy of the Sasanum produced by the petitioner appears clearly to be a mis-reading of the original, as found in detail in the Epigraphia Carnatica, Shimoga Volume I. Copy of the portion concerned is also enclosed.

It is evident therefrom that one of the grantees was called Obiya's son " ಒಬ್ಬಿಯ ಪುತ್ರನು " which expression finds a distorted

counterpart in the copy produced by the peti-

tioner—" ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಮ್ಮಾ ಪುತ್ರನು " , the proper name "Obiah" being represented as "

"Obbaayya" (an Iyya). Then again " ಗ್ರಾಮ ಸೀಮೆ " boundaries of this village,

in the Sasanum, is represented in the petitioner's copy as " ಗ್ರಾಮ ಸೀಮೆ " .

The Shivaite Boundaries of the village

I think the Deputy Commissioner entirely relies on these misreadings, when he expresses the belief that the institutions mentioned in the petition are endowed with Inam lands in Muttur Agrahar, and that the misrepresentation by the petitioner may have been either wilful, or the result of a hoax played on him. The

Serial
No.

receipt produced by the Petitioner shows that he was paying Kandayam for lands held by him in Muttur Agrahar. It does not contain the name of any Devaru, nor does it say it was for Inam lands.

Beyond the mere statement of the Petitioner there is nothing on record to show that the Devadaya lands were converted into Madyaka, or that these are trustworthy "Linga mudre stones" still forthcoming on the lands.

The papers received with the reference under reply, are herewith returned.

G.O. No. L 9718/19

Inam Supdt office }
Bangalore 31 January }
4-2-1919 }

11/2-1919
Superintendent
I am + 44's in Mysore

Labb

Seef)

18
6/2/19

Eighty-two Vithis are not accounted for. What has become of them. If they are in the enjoyment of the other Vithidars there is some ground for the statement since that the Devadaya Vithis are employed as Maddika.

Positely unauthorized or
subsequent-alienations

18
8/2/19

Muz File No. 531 of 1917.

Serial
No.

Forwarded to the Revenue
Secretariat for the compliments for
favor of opinion.

92663

Disapproved
In 9th Sec. Muz Dept
Sol

Plaps S and G In this conn
it may be noted
that Swayya has
made incorrect quotation
from the copper plate
grants - quite ungrammatical
and (Para 9 of the notes)
twisting them
in his favor
16/2/17

Plap D^x

From a complete perusal of the
Ver. Records it is evident that the claim
of Swayya for the "Madhyaka lands"
to be endowed to the "Devams" is
quite untenable.

It is also borne out by the
Un-official note of the Imam English
(paras 5-12 of the notes thereto)

The Counter-fetters in their
Statement^x mention that the so called
'Madhyakam' Vnthes have been in
their enjoyment, as such, for a
long time.

The point for orders has been raised
in para 13 of the notes:- The 8 of the
Vnthes said to be unaccounted for and
now in the 'Madhyaka' enjoyment of the
Vnthe dars, ~~are~~ ^{now} claimed by Swayya for
the temples of Devams which are

10992

G. R. S. III-2]

May 531 of 17

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 191

* Haf W

Serial
No.

as seen from the ver. records, recent
from this and do not find mention
in the Inam accounts, naturally.

Sivayya's claim seems spurious
and the receipt book^{*} of Kandayam paid
by him does not give any direct proof
that the lands belonged to the temples.

Subd for orders.

Ku
16.2.1928 *24.2.19*

Haf W

As a matter of principle
nobody ^{may} ~~can~~ be allowed
at this distance of time
to question the correctness
of the papers produced
before, and the recorded
findings of the Inam
Commission.

The claim, which should
have been preferred before
the Inam Settlement Officer
is apparently based on
evidence of a doubtful
character & is obviously
time-barred. It may be
summarily rejected.

Serial
No.

reasons given by the
Supt of Inam setts. in
his note above.

~~Revenue Secy~~)

SMA
12.3.19

As to the question raised
by the Muzrai Secy in
para 13 supra it may
be stated that Rev Setts
can give no opinion
as the Dy. Commr's report
is not full and the Inam
Supt does not say how
the 8 Vuttis were treated
at the Inam Settlement.
It was the duty of the
petitioner to prove that
the s. nos given in his
list are identical with
those comprised in the
eight Vuttis said to be
in the Madayaka en-
joyment of the Brahmins
This if he could have
done with the help of the
Survey Vassal Bhattis

Mur File No. 531 of 1917

Serial
No.

Patrike &c Perhaps the decision in the suit was said to be ~~pending~~ to have been filed by the petitioner ~~not~~ for the recovery of the gutta might throw some light on the question of title to the lands.

Muz Sett
Rever Secy

8 Mst
20.3.19

J
abd

Secy)

The inscription is quoted in ex ten so in the Ephe. Car. p. 27 of vol. 1. Let me have a list of devaries mentioned therein and is there any mention made of madhyaka lands.

J
26/3/19.

24
24/3/19

The inscription is in Sanskrit. On a perusal it will be seen that 2 kuthas have been set apart, one to Vishnu and the other to Siva temple - 3 kuthas have been set apart for Gajamunika. No mention of madhyaka lands.

Luz File No. 531 of 1917

Serial
No.

is made in the Inscription.

Submitted

- m. 3. 18. 5. 1917

See a written
Opinion from our

Pundits

L.S.
20/5/19The opinion of the Pundits
is placed in the file

Submitted

- m. 3. 18. 5. 1917

2 Vithas are
allotted to

the temples of Siva and Kesava.

There is no mention of
Nadhyaka lands. Sub with
reference to orders on previous
page.L.S.
24/5/19

Say.)

Draft an order following
the opinion given in the Supl. and
Rev. Br. and say that there is no
conclusion may be referred to the
Supl. for further investigationL.S.
25/5/19L.S.
27/5/19

Serial
No.

Submitted with a Dft. order.

Muz
24/7/19 25.7.19

None of the details now mentioned by the petitioner finds a place in the original grant - nor has any of them been recognized at the main settlement. A very strong prima facie case is required to set apart investigation which would have the effect of questioning the correctness of the finding and this has not been made out.

The S^r Commr's handling of the subject seems to be somewhat casual. I have put up a draft in accordance with the opinion of the Hon S^r for approval or other orders.

Lg

28.7.19

S^r member)

I agree that the pet^r has no case. From the Draft Supt. note it is clear that ~~the~~ the petitioner's request is to be put in the pet^r ~~does~~ does not find a place in the main settlement in summary

502
20.7.19

Serial
No.

502

The draft put up is full of correction
Please put up a few drafts for
approval.

~~Don't~~ I should like to know
when the ~~don't~~ settlement of the draft was
made for the draft
whether the p.s. produced the
original ~~document~~ which the paper
Kopar is said to be an error.

12/8/17

161824

Two drafts, one to the draft ~~draft~~ and
one to the D.C. Shimoga, are subd for
approval or orders.

1878/17 19.829

Done

12/8/17

2 No. 708 of 27 8. 17. 20 D.C. Shimoga.
Requires whether the p.s. & Shimoga
produced the original ~~document~~
for autug D.C. Shimoga was to be
inserted in the ~~document~~ and to be
sent up for ~~approval~~

Serial
No.
3

no 709 of 27 8 19. To the Supdt of
Inam settlements in Mysore.
Requires whether the settlement of
the Vithal's settlement of 12 12 19
is to be made in the same manner as the
other settlements.

4

Inam, the Inam Supdt
Letter no. 40 of 4-9-19
Reports that the Inam
Settlement of Mathur Agrahar
in the Shimoga Taluk was made in
1869.

The Dy Commr's further rep.
called for in Sl. 2 may be awaited.

Enclosed
m 18/10/19. 18/10/19

In the order of the 3rd Member
of 12-8-19, two questions
were raised - One of these
has been replied to by the Inam
Supt & the a reply to the other
is still due for Dr.

Please come a reminder
to Dr

Write to Inam Supt asking
for a copy of the Inam Report
relating to the settlement of the No. 2.
25/10/19. Allt

Serial
No.

Submitted: with D/S

M 25.10.19 *by 10/11/19* *1-11-19*

The diag. rem^d. to the Thucija & Co
may also be kindly approved &
return.

24 3/11/19 *3-11-19*

5 To Supdt. of Inam Settlements.

N^o. 1409 d. 4. 11 1919.

Calls for extract of the Inam Reg^r
pertaining to the settlement of the Inam
holders in the Shimoga Taluk

6. Inam, re Inam Supdt.

Letter no 62 d. 3-12-19.

Submits the inam extract
relating to Inam holders, showing
Taluk.

The Inam of the Dy Comm^r's
report, ^{called for in sl 2,} may be accepted.

Submitted *24* *6-12-19* *with a sample*

Serial
No

Submitted with a Dpr. reviv

m 9-12-17

Date of 9/12/17

7 From, the Dy Commr Shumga Dist,
Letter no. 298 of 27.5.1920Reports that the original Samads
are not forthcoming, and Submitted
copies thereof produced by Samad.Submitted for order with a draft
m 12.6.20. order approved by the
date Dec

12-1-20

AMT 14-6-20

to see

Put up a fair copy
Draft of 15/6/20

Fair Dpr Submitted

m 15.6.20

AMT 16/6/20

Sd/- Member (Secy)

U/ 17/6/20

P.T.O.

75-87-51

17-6 1920

Serial
No.


Don't approve

Juel
to 6-20

June 14/1920

11/19-6-

8. No 438/2, 22 6-20. 20 8-6. 1920.
 Passed on the 1st of 1920
 preparing for the 1st formation of British
 Venice in 1st letter by 1st, on 1st
 to 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st
 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st 1st



GPB-100,000-29714

From *Chief Clerk* 1/1/18
The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department, Bangalore

Dated 30th March 1918.

Despatched

Received

General No. H.C. 298 Rev. 1917-18.
No.

Enclosure No. one V. file and Jari
account.

Recommends that the Inam Department
should investigate as to how the lands
endowed to the temples of Mallikarjuna
Devaru and other temples, have disappeared
from the accounts.

αααααααααα

No. H.C. 298 Rev. 1917-18. Office of the Deputy Commissi

Shimoga District.

Dated Shimoga the 30th March 1918.

From

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adveting to correspondence ending with your official Memorandum No. 1211 Muz. 106-17 dated 24th November 1917, forwarding for disposal petition of one Shivayya of Mattur Agrahar, Shimoga Taluk, praying for the restoration of the lands endowed to the temples of Mallikarjuna Devaru (2. Basa-vanna Devaru and (3. Veerabhadra Devaru usurped by the Vrittidars of Mattur Agrahar, Shimoga taluk, I have the honour to state as follows :-

(1) A reference to the Jari Inamti account of 1861 received in original from the Amildar, Shimoga taluk, shows that the Agrahar constituted a number of Vritties both Devadaya and Bhramhadaya. Devadaya lands were, at the time of Settlement, it is said, converted into " Madhyaka " a common property of all the vrittidars, thus depriving the institutions of their endowmen Moreover only the number of institutions endowed with the

Devadaya lands has been mentioned in the Jari Register without the name and other particulars of the institutions being specified.

2. From the copy of the ^{Sanna} ~~Sanna~~ produced by the petitioner, it is evident that the institutions mentioned in the petition were endowed with Devadaya lands in the Mattur Agrahar.

3. ~~Further from~~ ^X the receipt produced by the petitioner in token of the payment of the rental due to Government on account of lands endowed to the temples shows that these lands were under the management of the petitioner's ancestors for the benefit of the institutions.

4. It is also seen from the record that only a portion of the Devadaya lands are in the possession of the petitioner for the benefit of the institutions, the rest having been treated as Madhyaka " which is in addition to Brahmadaya Vritties.

5. It has been alleged by the petitioner that as a proof of the lands having been endowed to these temples " Linga Mudra stones are still forth coming on the lands.

6. It cannot be made out how and why the Inam lands endowed to the temples were omitted from the records, though the institutions are in existence and a portion of Devadaya lands are in the possession of the petitioner for the benefit of the temples.

2. I am of opinion that a thorough investigation by the Inam Department is necessary in order to make out how the lands mentioned in the Sanna have otherwise been disposed of. I therefore request that the Inam Superintendent may kindly be addressed in the matter.


3. The vernacular file ending with Shimoga Sub-Division Officer's docket No. 129 dated 12th October 1917 is enclosed

for kind reference.


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


for Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.



S.H.R.

G. R. S. VI-G/32]

SUBJECT Call for further information re the
subject of the restoration
inams to the temple

Diary No. of letter replied to....1664..

Section *General*

File No. 531-1)

Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Muz*)
the 191 . }

No. 708 Enc three
files.

Date of issue *27th Aug. 1917* To

B. Ramadewan niza
Signed by..... *Esq. N*

The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter No. H.C. 298/Rev 1917-18 &
30-3-18 re restoration of certain
Devadaya inams to the temples
of Mallikarjuna Devaru and
two others in the Muttur Agrahar,
Shimoga Taluk, I am directed
to enquire whether the hesitation

Shivayya produced the
original samad granting
Devadaya inams to the insti-

tutions in question. if so, the same may be
sent for perusal

2. The ver. records are
returned for reference
and retransmission -

I have etc

M. 20/8/19
Secretary

Muz. Deptt
19.8.19.

88-
18/8/19

Last of enclosure

Cc led

Encl ind by

R. S. VI-G/32]

2 dms

SUBJECT: calls for further information on the subject
of the restoration of certain Devadaya inams
to certain temples

Diary No. of letter replied to.....16.6.44

Section Engin

File No. 53/17

Serial No. 5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Eng)

, the

191

No. 709

Date of issue 27.8.19.....

To

B. Rama Svaranaiya
Signed by..... Eng

The Supdt of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your un-
official note no. 4 of 18-1984-2-19
on the subject of the restoration
of certain Devadaya inam lands
to the temples of Mallikarjuna
Devaru and two others at Muttur,
Shimoga Taluk, I am directed
to enquire when the details

of the villies constituting
the Mutter Agrahar was made
by the Income Dept.
An Encl. upl. is requested

I have etc

10/20/87

Secretary
Income Dept.

2d
19-8-87

82
18/4/87

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by.

Office of the Superintendent
 Survey Settlements in Mysore -
 Bangalore, dated 10th September 1919.

Secy No 40
 GOV. SECRETARIES
 VII

To
 569 B. Ramaswamiya, Esq. B.A. B.L.
 19 20 Secretary to the Government of
 the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore
 531-17
 4

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 709
 dated 27th August 1919, I have the honor
 to state that the Survey Settlement of
 Muttur Agrahar in the Shimoga Taluk was
 made in 1869.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

K. H. S. S.

Superintendent of
 Survey Settlements in Mysore

SUBJECT.....

205

*Calls for Inam register re Muthur Village
Shrinaga Taluk*

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 1664

Section

File No.

Serial No.

*Revenue
531-17
57*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT }

()

, the

191 . }

No. 1409

Date of issue..... 4.11.19

To

Signed by *Mr. A. K. Chetty*

The Supt of Inam

*Settlements in Mysore,
Sir,*

*Adverting to your
letter no. 40 of 10th Sept 1919
stating that the Inam
Settlement of Muthur
Agrahar in the Shrinaga
Taluk was made in
1869, I am directed to
request that you will*

So good as to forward
for reference at an
early date, an extract
from the Inam register
pertaining to the settle-
ment of the said
village-

I have etc.

Atty
for Secy,

W. Muz. Desai
27/10/19 1-11-19.

List of enclosures-

Copied by

Examined by.

1919.10.20
 SE. TION *Mer*
 Sur. 104
 FILE NO. *531-17*
6.

WD A0689-GPB-750-12-10 17

REC'D. DEPT.
 3rd
 DEC 1919

No. 62
 Enc. one

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
 MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore 3-12-1919.

14796

From

D. K. DARASHAH, Esq., B. A.,
Superintendent of Revenue
Survey & Settlements in Mysore.

To

T. E. JAYARAM IYER, Esq., B. A., B.L.,
 Secretary to the Government of
 H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
 Mulraj Department.

SIR,

As requested in your letter No. 1409 dated 4th November
 1919, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam
 Register of Mutur Agrahar in the Shimoga taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. V. Krishnaswami Iyer

Sub. Asst SUPERINTENDENT.

For Superintendent of Survey & Inam
Left in Mysore, absent from the Station

Register Sheet No. 153
154

Zalok-Shumaga
Hobli - Gayanwar
Village - Muttoo

Register of Inams in the village of *Huttur Agrahar*

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimal.
1	2	3	4	5

Personal

As per Agrahar and other measurements

Particulars of the above

<i>Pranaburke</i>	<i>353.76</i>
<i>Dry Cultivation and waste fit for cultivation</i>	<i>487.15</i>
<i>Waste unfit</i>	<i>2070.94</i>
<i>Wet Cultivation and fit for Cultivation</i>	<i>766</i>
<i>Bagayat</i>	<i>89.65</i>
	<i>3765.50</i>
<i>⊕ please see col 11</i>	

Sammat of *Gajawar* in the Taluk of *Shimoga*

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND

Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
		Hereditary or for life	

6	7	8	9
---	---	---	---

Bering
No. 1421-5-5
Schuch }
Singer } 51-2-1
bals
rammelt }

No. 1370-5-4

89-10-8

I add an account of waste re

7 1460-2-4

or adopted in this
adopted in
this settlement

For the benefit
of the holder
Hereditary—

Jody No 454-75-
 Dewadaya }
 Pagadi } 43-11-5

Personal } 367-8-9
Kagavi }

Iris ellanensis 54-g-5

Prohaturifa 5-13-3

926-3-3

Product 57-1-10

Reminder 675-2-3

Boundaries

1 North Jodi Eragadoor village's boundary -

1 East - 25 Logani village's Boundary -

1, South - Do Kusakoor & Yragamako, village. ¹/₂ Boundary.

West - River Ferry

By whom granted
and in what yearWritten instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous
documents, and other proofs of long possession.In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without
grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of
terms of all documents produced should be given.

10

11

Granted by
Attentaraaya
Krishnaraya
in A D 1527.

1 Copper plated Sannad.

⊕ The boundaries are said to be in their
normal position and the area measured is
within them. No boundary dispute exists or
existed ~~either~~ now or at any former time.

There is large tank fed by a halla and 12
small kutles which ~~depend~~ ^{depend} for their supply of water
upon local rains.

The halla is stated to rise in the Bella
Kallu gudda and after flowing through two villages
which are not much benefitted by its flows into the
tank of this village and fertilizes its fields. The
tank and repairs to the halla are said to be executed
at the cost of the Inamdar.

There is said to be no jungle and the large
extent of kalyak waste is said to be waste land
interspersed with rocks and fit only for cattle
grazing.

(Signed) B. Gopalulu
Spt. Asst.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

Treyambaka in
for finding
and endowing
an Agrahar

not intelligible.

The Sages and others revenue go to the Government
and except what has been included in the grant
the additional Swamya is enjoyed by the
Swamdar. I here there is long standing
dispute between
the Agrahar Swamdar and the Swamdar
of the hamlet of Mandamkoppah which was leased
out on the old assessment minus 2 pagodas as Swam
Swam to induce him to take up. Under such
circumstances, I do not think we can do much
in the shape of prospecting revenue. I desist from that
the value of Devadanya Swam as shown in the schedule.
Prasanna B. Gopaliah
Spt. Assistant

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the nam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each shairer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
<u>Muttur</u>			
1. Srinivasavashani 40 Asal Juffdy Vitti Vitti 10/16 6/16		1 wife	I have in this column recorded only the alienations which have taken place subsequent to 1862 and which wiped registry in the previous Jaffy. Inamby accounts of 1834 & 1862.
2. Pottaramavashani 28 V 10/16 V 5/16		1 son	
3. Pattathiravavashani 25 V 1 15/16 V 1/4	Son to no 2 in 1862	1 son	
4. Subbaravashani 50 V 5/16 V 6	Brother to no 2 in 1862	1 wife	Of the share of the incumbents. — nos 13, 14 & 15 — 1/3 ^d living Inam & 1/8 resumed Vitti was purchased by them for Rs 200 —
5. Krishnavashani 12 V 1/2 "	Brother's son to no 4	1 wife	
6. Venkatachalavashani - 35 V 11/16 "	Brother to no 3	3 Sons	w/1863 Deed of sale is produced executed on
7. Channappavashani - 30 V 1 5/16	Son to no 3 in 1862	4 brothers 1 wife	
8. Subbaravashani 22	Son to no 4 in 1862	1 Brother	
9. Kesavaravashani 18	Brother's son to no 3	—	
10. Ramchandraravashani 25 nos 8, 9 & 10 V 4 10/16 V 1 3/16	Brother to no 3	—	

V 3 1/4	32 V 1 1/16	The same as No 5 in 1862	1 wife	Stamped paper by one Venkatesiah, who purchased it at an auction of the Court. The share
12. Narayandhikshita	6 V 4 1/4	Son to No 6 in 1862	1 Mother	in question originally belonged to No 16 in column 14 in the account of 1834.
13. Narayandhikshita	48 V 1 1/2	The same as No 7 in 1862	2 Sons	The share of the incumbents No 75 obtained his share in gift from the father of the incum- - bent No 12 in Col 15 Deed of gift is not produced, but the alienation is ad- mitted by the donor
14. Visweswaradhikshita	30 V 10 1/4	No 13 No 7 in 1862	1 wife	Of the share of the incumbents Nos 13, 14 & 15 - 5/16 Vritti was obtained in gift from the incumbent No 44 in Col 15 Deed of gift is not produced, but the alienation is admitted by the donor
15. Subbrayadhikshita	No 13, 14 & 15 V 10 1/4	Brother son to do	"	
16. Sannakesavarubhat	45 V 2	The same as No 8 in 1862	1 Son	
17. Subbadhikshita	60 V 1 1/4	The same as No 9 in 1862	"	
18. Anantavathani	28 V 1 1/16	Grandson to No 10 in 1862	1 wife	
19. Subbavathani	55 V 2 3/8	Brother to do	4 Sons	
20. Ramavathani	30 V 1 10/16	do	1 wife	
21. Anantavathani	" V 1 1/16	Brother son	1 Brother	
22. Eesvarasastri	35 V 1 1/16	The same as No 11 in 1862	2 sons 1 brother	
23. Venkatramana sastri	50 V 1 3/4	The same as No 11 in 1862	2 Sons	

- 3/2
25. Venkattramasastri - 70 The S
No 13 1 Son
V 9/16 V 1/16 1862
26. Ramasastri - - - 34 The S
as No 14 in 1862 1 B
V 1 3/4 V 1
- 27 Venkattramanavadhani - 50 The same
No 14 3 Sons
in 1862
V 1 1/2 V 1
28. ^{Maknad} Venkatesabhakta - - - 80 The S
No 15 1 Son
in 1862
V 2 1/16 V 3/16
29. Bagavata Venkatarayana 40 Sons to 1 wife
No 16 in 1862
V 3/16 V 1/16
30. Do Ramasroute 35 1 Son
V 6/16 V 2/16
31. Venkatesasroute 30 "
V 6/16 V 4/16
32. Rangasroute 25 "
V 6/16 V 2/16
- 33 Subbathatta - 35 The S
as No 16 3 Sons
in 1862
V 1/16 V 5/16
34. Venkataraniathatta 28 The S
No 16 "
1862
V 3/4 V 5/16
35. ^{Hosahalli} Narayanaadhani - 14 Don't No 1 1 wife
in 1862
V 1 1/2 -
- Tringeri
36. Krishnasastri - The S
as No 18 1 S
in 1862
V 2 1/4

	35 —	same as No 20 in 1862	2 Sons
1 ¹¹ / ₁₆ —	"		
Devarubhatta —	40	The same as No 20 in 1862	2 Sons
V 1 ¹¹ / ₁₆ —	"		
39. Gururayachari —	25	Son to A No 20 in 1862	1 Son
40. Sainivasachari —	20	on to B in 1862	1 Wife
41. Venkataramanachari —	4	Do C in 1862	1 Son
	nos 39-40 & 41		
V 3 ¹¹ / ₁₆ —	"		
42. Sheshagiriachar —	60	Do D in 1862	1 Son
V 1 ⁹ / ₁₆ —	V —		
43. Subbammachari —	30	Same as No 21 in 1862	1 Wife 1 Sister in law
V 1 —			
44. Ramasastri —	32	Same as No 22 in 1862	2 Sons
V 2 ¹⁰ / ₁₆ —	V 2 —		
45. <u>Magadi</u> Visweswararathani —	55	Same as No 23 in 1862	2 Sons
V 1 ¹ / ₂ —	"		
46. Bhaigiratharva —	20	Same as No 24 in 1862	
V ¹ / ₂ —	"		
47. Narayana Dipudya —	25	Same as No 25 in 1862	1 Son
V 1 ³ / ₁₆ —	V 2 ¹ / ₁₆ —		
48. Venkatesavarathani —	70	Same as No 26 in 1862	2 Sons
V ³ / ₄ —	V 2 ¹ / ₁₆ —		
49. Subbabbhatta —	36	Same as No 27 in 1862	2 Sons
V ¹ / ₄ —	"		
50. Visweswararathani —	50	Same as No 28 in 1862	2 Sons
V ¹ / ₁₆ —			

52. Lakshminaranabhat 30 The same as no 29 in 1862 1 wife
V¹⁰/16
53. Stanika Venkatramana bhatta — 20 The S as no 30 in 1862 1 wife
V¹/16 —
54. Timmarashani — 45 The same as no 31 in 1862 3 sons
V¹⁵/16
55. Nadiq Bindappa 18 son to no 32 in 1862 1 wife
V³ —
56. Visrvasavara Sonti A 15 33 in 1862 1 wife
V¹⁰/16 — V⁶/64
57. Lakshminikanta d/f 2 son to 13 1 wife
V¹⁰/16 V⁶/64
58. Visrveswara d/f 35 The same as in C in Dr 3 sons
V¹³/16 V²/16
59. Sama Lakshminikanta d/f 35 The same as no 34 in 1862 2 sons
V²/16 V⁴/2
60. Naranavathani 30 The same as no 35 in 1862 1 wife 1 sis —
no 60 & 61 Dr
61. Krishnavathani 25 V⁴/2 —
62. Krishnapurna Same as Rukhavarathani 55 no 36 in 1862 1 S
V⁴/2
63. Naranavathani 30 son to no 37 in 1862 1 son
V³/16 —

V 3/16		Don'to no 37	~~1 Son~~
65. Karayanayatti	70	in 1862	1 wife
V 1 3/4		Same as	1 Son
		no 38	
		in 1862	
66. Channavarthani	40	Don'to no	2 Nephew
V 1 5/16	V 5/16	39 in	
		1862	
67. Gangavarthani	60	Brother	3 Sons
V 1/4	-	to no 39	
		in 1862	
68. Naravarthani	25	Brother's	1 Brother
V 1/4		Don'to	1 wife
69. Channavarthani	35	Do	1 Son
V 1/2	V 2/16		
70. Giramma	50	Same as	"
V 1/2		no 40	
		in 1862	
71. Kuthy Sannachari	45	Same as	2 Sons
V 1/2		no 41 in	
		1862	
72. Chintamanijavarthani	50	Same as no	3 Sons
V 1/4	"	42 in 1862	
73. Krishnapurada Subbathat	40	Same as no	1 Son
V 1/4	-	43 in 1862	
74. Kargari Channavarthani	40	Don'to no 44	1 Son
V 2/16	"	in 1862	
75. Kasi Subbavarthani	42	Same as no	1 wife
V 1/4	"	6 in 1862	
76. Kuthy Anantastay	46	Alince	"
V 2			
77. Kesavabhatta	25	Same as no	1 wife
V 15/16		45 in 1862	

V¹⁵/16

in 1862

79. Iyyambakadevar worshipper
one Vritti

Muttur
80. Sri Lakshminarayana
devam

V⁵

Kudly

81. Visvesvaradevar worshipper
V⁴¹⁰/16

Included Muttur Mukkija
-pranadevar
free Rs 98-5-0

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on Japas regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.

~~Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner~~

19

20

The copper Plate Samud quoted in column 11 conveys the grant of this and the gady villages of Hosalli & Vemkudur. It is written in fine Sanscrit verse and conveys to the grantee and his successors all right to what is hidden and to what is above the soil of the village. It also vests the grantee and his heirs with full powers of alienation, and absolute right of disposal.

Like all other Inams & Inam villages this was resumed & restored with a gady during Hyder and Tippus time.

$14\frac{3}{16}$ Vrittis bearing a valuation of Rs 107-4-9 were resumed in Tippus time for reasons not stated. The net rental upon these shares was added to the gady upon the village. These resumed shares ~~in stated~~ instead of being added to all the Vrittidars in proportion to their Vrittis, were assigned only to a few individuals and their full valuation added to their share of the gady on the unresumed vrittis.

Although the holders of these $14\frac{3}{16}$ resumed vrittis are in fact Kandayam paying Ryots; the Ay

as Vuttidar and have allowed them to
in all the right and privileges which they enjoy
the village as regards pasture lands and produce
of fruit trees and jungle. It will be observed that
although the incumbent No 76 hold exclusively a
portion of the resumed Vutti and pays its full
assessment as Pagari or Joty, he is also admitted
to all the privileges of a Vuttidar. Since there is
loss to Government by this arrangement,
which has long existed in this village, I have
allowed it to remain unaltered, but for sake
of distinction I have also shown opposite
to the name of each individual, not only his
Inam now alive, but also the addition he
obtained from the resumed shares. The Joty
of these individuals is not only what is due
upon these Inam share proper, but also the full
assessment of the addition received from the
resumed Vutties.

I am unable to record the extent of each Vutti
in the absence of a fieldwar assessment, but
represented them as shown in column 15. I have
however caused a schedule to be drawn up
showing the old value of the Inam

the addition made in this settlement, the old jody-
made up of the Pagadi upon the ^{living} ~~living~~ Inam shares
and the old full assessment upon the resumed shares
together with Quit Rent now charged for enfran-
chisement.

The original Samud mentions 120 Vitties
inclusive of 2 Vitties belonging to the Pagodas 79 &
80 in column 15. The share of the latter received an
addition of 4 Vitties and the Pagoda No 81 enjoys $4\frac{5}{8}$.
On enquiring how these obtained their shares, the Inam-
dars tell me that during Tipu Sultan's time
 $122\frac{13}{16}$ were resumed, the full assessment upon $14\frac{3}{16}$
was charged upon the village and the rem-
 $8\frac{5}{8}$ were conferred upon these pagodas, which they
have since enjoyed without interruption and
could not be questioned at present.

Under the head of included Inams. I have
entered one Inam belonging to Brinkkyaprana
Devam, which came into existence long before
Pooniah's Settlement.

The village is recommended to be confirmed
in one bed to the parties in column 15 as
shown on the other side in the Schedule.

$\frac{1}{8}$ Quit Rent Rs 931-~~00~~ including the jody

(Signed) B. Gopaliah
31-5-69 Spl. Assistant.

confirmed. Subject to a Limit Rent of Rs. 93,
 Signed J. Clark
 Dated 2-69.

- 1 Revised as per the Dewan's Proceedings No. $\frac{4701-9}{133}$ dated 2nd September 1881.
2. Vide the Statement received with Survey Superintendent's docket No. 920 dated 21st February 1883.

	A. G. No. as P	
Unculturable	1027-25	64-3-7
dry		
Cultivated -	590-28	205-6-5
Culturable -	227-4	17-8-8
wet		
Cultivated -	713-12	1,857-7-2
Culturable -	107-5	64-15-6
Garden		
Cultivated	106-14	1,044-8-4
Culturable -	5-3	10-6-5
Total	2777-11	3,264-7-9

3. As the Title Deed was issued before the 12th October 1872, the Limit Rent is not fixable under the Rules.

Signed J. P. Grant

13-3-85

Supt. of Survey Settlements
in Mysore

This village is not subject to revision, under
 the Government Proceedings No 14223-5 dated
 14th February 1894. Vide Survey Superintendent's
 Order No 249 dated 16th July 1896.

f. Signed J. P. Grant
 Supt of Revenue Settlements
 in Mysore.

24-9-97

	Vitha	old assessment	Pagadi	mal - wari	Total	for each Vitha assessment	for each Vitha	Full rate on each Vitha	Notified rate on each Vitha
Brahma - daga	97-3	1016-4	2367-8	9281-2	8649-11-5	16-5-6	6-10-9 ³ / ₄	10-7-3 ³ / ₄	10-1-2 ³ / ₄
Devadaya	5-10-0	98-2	243-14-5	24-15-4	68-13-9				
Atax per daga	98-5								
Jafta Vitha	14-3	148-5-5	107-4	941-0-8	148-5-5				
Mohafutya	14-3	9-3-8	9-3-8		9-3-8				
Total	120	1370-4-5	527-15-7	347-2-8	875-2-3				
Newly imposed arsh		89-11-7							
		1460-0-2							

Statement Showing the assessment, goby and Combined Link Mark of each Va. Richards entered in C

Number in class	Vithis in the assignment		Assessment	old goby including and full assessment upon goby	Inlandasi upon goby	adupa itics		Combined
	Inja Vithis	Goby Vithis				Total	adupa itics	
1	u-10-u	u-6-u	1-u-u	4-2-9	u-1-2	8-2-7	u-6-5	8-9-u
2	u-10-u	u-5-u	u-15-u	4-2-9	u-1-1	7-8-u	u-6-2	7-14-2
3	1-15-u	u-4-u	2-3-u	14-9-8	u-2-7	17-6-1	u-14-9	18-4-10
4	u-5-u	u-u-u	u-5-u	1-10-8	u-u-5	1-11-1	u-3-8	1-14-9
5	u-8-u	u-u-u	u-8-u	3-5-5	u-u-7	3-6-u	u-4-7	3-10-7
6	u-11-u	u-u-u	u-11-u	4-9-5	u-u-10	4-10-3	u-6-4	5-u-7
7	1-5-u	u-u-u	1-5-u	8-6-6	u-1-8	8-7-2	u-12-10	9-4-u
8 to 10	4-10-u	1-3-u	5-13-u	30-14-u	u-1-1	4-3-11-9	2-12-3	46-8-u
11	3-4-u	u-11-u	3-15-u	44-11-1	u-4-10	29-3-u	1-15-u	31-2-u
12	4-4-u	u-8-u	4-12-u	53-14-8	u-5-10	33-15-6	2-7-6	36-7-u
13 to 15	10-4-u	1-5-u	11-9-u	68-6-10	u-14-3	83-u	6-u-u	89
16	2-u-u	u-4-u	2-4-u	13-5-7	u-2-9	16-2-2	1-2-8	17-4-10
17	1-14-u	u-u-u	1-14-u	12-8-3	u-2-3	12-10-6	1-1-2	13-11-8
18	2-7-u	u-1-u	1-8-u	9-9-7	u-1-10	10-5-10	u-13-4	4-3-2
19	2-3-u	u-1-u	2-4-u	14-9-7	u-2-9	5-6-9	1-4-3	16-11-u
20	1-10-u	u-1-u	1-11-u	10-13-7	u-2-u	11-10-1	u-14-11	12-9-u
21	1-11-u	u-1-u	1-12-u	11-4-3	u-2-1	12-0-10	u-15-7	13-0-5

23	1-12-4	u-2-4	u-2-4	22-11-1	12-8-3	1-4-11	u-2-5	13-15-7	1-1-5	15-1-4
24	2-8-4	u-2-4	u-2-4	21-4-5	11-10-11	1-4-11	u-2-3	13-2-1	1-4-2	14-2-3
25	u-9-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	39-11-5	23-5-10	u-2-4	u-4-3	23-10-1	2-4-1	25-10-2
26	1-12-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	7-1-5	3-12-1	u-10-6	u-10-10	4-7-5	u-5-3	4-12-8
27	1-8-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	31-3-8	11-10-11	10-7-4	u-3-5	22-5-8	1-1-7	23-7-3
28	2-1-4	u-3-4	u-3-4	28-5-10	10-4-2	10-7-3	u-3-1	20-10-6	u-15-4	21-9-10
29	u-3-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	25-8-5	13-12-3	1-15-5	u-2-9	15-14-5	1-3-2	17-1-7
30	u-6-4	u-2-4	u-2-4	2-13-4	1-4-4	u-10-6	u-4-4	1-14-10	u-1-10	2-4-8
31	u-6-4	u-2-4	u-2-4	5-10-9	2-8-4	1-4-11	u-4-7	3-13-6	u-3-8	4-1-2
32	u-6-4	u-2-4	u-2-4	5-10-9	2-8-4	1-4-11	u-4-7	3-13-6	u-3-8	4-1-2
33	u-11-4	u-5-4	u-5-4	11-5-7	4-9-5	3-4-3	u-1-3	7-14-11	u-6-10	8-5-9
34	u-12-4	u-5-4	u-5-4	12-1-4	5-4-1	3-4-3	u-1-4	8-5-8	u-7-4	8-13-4
35	1-8-4	u-8-4	u-8-4	17-4-4	10-4-2	u-2-4	u-1-10	10-2-4	u-13-9	10-15-9
36	2-4-4	u-2-4	u-2-4	25-8-5	15-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-9	15-3-4	1-4-7	16-7-7
37	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
38	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
39	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
40	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
41	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
42	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
43	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
44	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
45	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
46	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
47	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
48	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
49	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8
50	1-11-4	u-1-4	u-1-4	19-2-5	11-4-3	u-2-4	u-2-4	11-6-3	u-15-5	12-5-8

51	n-6-u	u-6-u	4-4-1	2-8-u	u-u-6	2-8-6	n-3-5	2-11-11
52	n-10-u	u-10-u	7-1-6	4-2-8	u-u-6	4-3-5	u-5-9	4-9-2
53	1-u-u	1-u-u	14-5-9	6-10-9	u-1-3	6-12-2	u-9-2	7-5-2
54	1-5-u	1-5-u	14-14-3	8-12-2	u-1-8	8-13-10	u-12-u	9-9-10
55	3-u-u	3-u-u	34-u-8	20-u-5	u-3-8	20-4-1	1-11-6	21-15-7
56	0-10-u	u-11-8	8-2-8	4-2-9	u-u-11	5-3-4	u-5-11	5-9-3
57	u-10-u	u-11-8	8-2-8	4-2-9	u-1-2	6-12-10	u-3-8	7-4-6
58	n-13-u	u-15-u	10-10-3	5-6-9	u-u-8	19-9-11	1-4-3	20-14-2
59	2-2-u	2-10-u	29-12-7	14-3-u	u-1-2	3-6-1	u-4-3	3-10-8
60	u-8-u	u-8-u	5-10-9	3-5-5	u-3-3	3-6-1	u-4-3	3-10-8
61	u-8-u	u-8-u	5-10-9	3-5-5	u-3-3	3-6-1	u-4-3	3-10-8
62	u-8-u	u-8-u	5-10-9	3-5-5	u-3-3	3-6-1	u-4-3	3-10-8
63	u-3-u	u-3-u	1-2-2	1-4-u	u-2-3	1-4-3	u-1-9	1-6-2
64	u-3-u	u-3-u	1-2-2	1-4-u	u-2-3	1-4-3	u-1-9	1-6-2
65	1-12-u	1-12-u	19-13-8	11-10-11	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
66	1-5-u	1-10-u	18-7-u	8-5-6	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
67	u-4-u	u-4-u	2-13-4	1-10-9	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
68	u-4-u	u-4-u	2-13-4	1-10-9	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
69	u-8-u	u-10-u	7-1-6	3-5-5	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
70	u-8-u	u-8-u	5-10-9	3-5-5	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
71	u-8-u	u-8-u	5-10-9	3-5-5	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
72	u-4-u	u-4-u	2-13-4	1-10-9	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
73	u-4-u	u-4-u	2-13-4	1-10-9	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
74	u-2-u	u-2-u	1-6-8	u-13-4	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
75	u-4-u	u-4-u	2-13-4	1-10-9	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
76	u-4-u	u-4-u	2-13-4	1-10-9	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3
77	u-15-u	u-15-u	10-10-3	6-4-2	u-2-3	11-13-2	1-11-10	12-13-3

u-1-2 | u-5-4 | u-8

No. H.C. 298 (Rev) 17-18, 30

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Shinoga District.

Dated Shinoga 27th May 1920.

file of record, 1 Book of Jari Gamm
of 1861 of Inattin Arachan (and 1
file of record submitted by the SDO
From Shinoga) There in all.

S. Viriannappa, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shinoga District.

DIARY No. 2427

19 - 1920

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

32177

T^o

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government

uzrai Department,

Bangalore.

29 May 1920

29 May 1920

29 May 1920

Sir

Adverting to your letter No. 703/uz.521-17-2 dated 27th August 1919 calling for original sannads granting Devadaya inams to the temples of Sri allikarjuna Devaru and the other temples in the Puttur Agrhar Shinoga Taluk, I have ^{the honour} to forward herewith ^{the} copies of the sannads produced by Shivayya, the Inandar of the temples for kind reference. The original sannads are not forthcoming.

2. The Alildar's report No. 853 dated 23th April 1920 is submitted for kind perusal.

3. The entire file of correspondence ending with Shinoga Sub Division Officer's No. H.C. 292/Rev. 19-20 dated 11th May 1920 is enclosed for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Narayana Rao

For Deputy Commissioner,

Shinoga District.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT..... *Passing order on the petition of Sivappa
praying for the restoration of certain Vithis
in matter Agrahar, Shrinaga Taluk, to Basappa
Denara & 2 other temples*

Under No. of letter replied to..... *2627*

Section *Muz*
File No. *537-17*
Serial No. *8*

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the

191 .

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read -

Date of issue..... *2-7-1916*

Signed by..... *M. A. M. S. S.*

*Memorial regd no 1316
of 28-1-1916 addressed to H.H.
the Maharaja by Huchappa
nanara Sivappa of matter
Agrahar in the Shrinaga Taluk
praying for the restoration
the nam lands alleged to have
been endowed to the temples
of malikarjuna Denara,
Order Phetion No
Ba-Sanamma Denara and
Veerabhadra Denara, but
now in the possession of
the Vithidars
Letter no. 23828*

May this be

- i Printed
- ii treated as a standing order
- iii published in the Gazette,
English Part
- iv translated
- v placed at the disposal of the press
- vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part

being that the grant
of income (originally to the
said trust) is supported by
Pasana and certain receipts
in the possession of the petn
and that a thorough investigation
by the Income Dept is
necessary before the
matter can be finally
disposed of.

L.O. nro of 4-2-88
from the Income Supd
is Mysore

Further correspondence
ending in the letter no.
H.C 288 (Kas.) of 17-5-20
from the Dy. Commr of
Shimoga Dist, on the
subject.

List of enclosures.

4387 Enc. two f's
Order thereon dated 5-31-17-8
of 22-1-28
The Muttar Agrahar
in the Shimoga Taluk

Copied by
Examined by

Full

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

of these Vuttis,

was granted under a Copper
plate Sasanam in A.D. 1523-24
by Krishnadasa Maharaja,
the whole village being
divided into 120 Vuttis, of
which 90 Vuttis were granted
to Brahmanas, the remaining
being reserved to himself.
At the time of the 1st
Settlement, 82 Vuttis in
all were found ^{the Vuttis were} confirmed,
78 relating to Brahmanas
and 4 to deities (Trigambara
Devaram, Lakshminarayana
Devaram, Visweswara Devaram
and Mukhyaprama Devaram).
It is alleged that the Deva
daza lands were at the
time of Settlement converted
into madhyama property
of the Vuttidars and that
only the number of inscriptions
evidence with Devadaza lands
has been mentioned in the
Jari Register without the
name and other particulars
of the inscriptions being specified.
There is nothing in the
records to support this
statement. The Jari

but

of 1833-34, 1861 and 1862-3
give the names of Denams
and these are ~~now~~ to be found
in the Inam Settlement Registers.
(3) The M. Am. n. relies to some
extent upon a copy of the
Sasana produced by the petn
and on a receipt for payment
of rental due to him for the
lands enclosed ^{to the temples.}
The copy of the Sasana itself
is a ^{copy} ~~clerical~~ ^{perhaps} unintentional
misreading of the original
which is given at length in
the Epigraphia Carnatica,
Shimoga, Vol I, while the
receipt itself does not exhibit
the name of the Denam
nor even that it ~~was~~ relates to
inam land.

(4) There is thus nothing
beyond the statement of the
petn to show that the temples
in question were endowed with
any Inam land and that they
have since been included
in the Maddika lands
and that there are trustworthy
"Linga mudra" stones still
forthcoming on these lands.

Paul

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

In these circumstances,
I h consider that there
is no case which can
be ref. to the Tram Supd.
for further investigation.
A suitable endorsement
should be given to the
petitioner.

~~Pair~~

74

UJ 17/6/20
Remy, muez Oghon
MUK

Reel
18-6-20 -

The Dr. Cured, Scumiafa
Ding, being the ^{truth} allom.